

	<p><b>Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee</b></p> <p><b>27 April 2017</b></p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Title</b></p>	<p><b>Temple Fortune Area NW11 - Proposed Waiting Restrictions</b></p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Report of</b></p>	<p>Commissioning Director for Environment</p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Wards</b></p>	<p>Garden Suburb and Golders Green</p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Status</b></p>	<p>Public</p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Urgent</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Key</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Enclosures</b></p>	<p>Appendix A – Proposed Waiting Restrictions</p>
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Officer Contact Details</b></p>	<p>Gavin Woolery-Allen <a href="mailto:gavin.woolery-allen@barnet.gov.uk">gavin.woolery-allen@barnet.gov.uk</a>; 020 8359 3555</p>

<h2>Summary</h2>
<p>This report sets out a number of proposed waiting restrictions in the Temple Fortune area, and seeks the Committee’s approval to progress these proposals to a statutory consultation.</p>

<h2>Recommendations</h2>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee, to resolve to authorise the Commissioning Director for Environment and his officers to carry out a statutory consultation on proposals to introduce Waiting Restrictions (yellow lines) in various locations as set out in Appendix A to this report.</li>   <li>2. That subject to no objections being received to the statutory consultation, referred to in recommendation 1, the committee authorise the Commissioning Director for Environment and his officers to introduce the proposed waiting restrictions</li> </ol>

**3. That the Committee agree that if any objections are received as a result of the statutory consultation, referred to in recommendation 2, the Commissioning Director for Environment will, in consultation with the relevant Ward Councillors, consider and determine whether the proposed changes should be implemented or not, and if so, with or without modification.**

## **1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED**

- 1.1 The Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2016 considered the findings of a parking consultation in the Temple Fortune NW11 area and decided, amongst other things, to liaise with Ward Members in relation to developing a number of waiting restriction proposals in the consultation area with a view to reporting all agreed proposals to a future meeting of this Committee.
- 1.2 This report outlines a number of waiting restriction proposals and recommends further action as a result of those findings.

## **2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 Having considered a report outlining the findings of the parking consultation carried out in the Temple Fortune area in May/June 2016, the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee decided that Officers should investigate a number of locations for the provision of waiting restrictions.
- 2.2 The locations were those that were highlighted by the local community during the consultation process as problematic locations to travel through.
- 2.3 Officers have visited the area and have designed a number of waiting restriction proposals as outlined in Appendix A designed to improve sightlines and general road safety.
- 2.4 Officers have consulted the Garden Suburb and Golders Green Ward Councillors on the proposals which fall within their respective Wards and the feedback is as follows:

A Golders Green Ward Councillor responded advising that he had no comments on the proposals. No response was received from the other Golders Green Ward Councillors.

A Garden Suburb Ward Councillor stated his support for the proposals in his Ward. No response was received from the other Garden Suburb Ward Councillors.

- 2.5 Officers consider that these proposals should be subject to a statutory consultation with the public.

2.6 If objections are forthcoming, it is considered that they should be considered and resolved by the Commissioning Director for Environment, in consultation with the relevant Ward Councillors, using his Delegated Powers under the Council's Constitution for a decision on whether to introduce the measures or not, and if so, with or without modification.

### **3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED**

3.1 None in the context of this report.

### **4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION**

4.1 Officers would seek to carry out a statutory consultation on the agreed proposals with a view to implementing those proposals subject to the outcome of the consultation.

### **5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION**

#### **5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance**

5.1.1 The consultation seeks to establish whether measures are required to particularly help to address the Corporate Plan delivery objectives of "a clean and attractive environment, with well-maintained roads and pavements, flowing traffic".

#### **5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)**

5.2.1 There is £20,000 already committed from the Area Committee budget CIL (approved at the 13 January 2016 F&GG Area Committee) for the review of parking to take place and it is not envisaged that any further funding will be required for the statutory consultation and implementation exercise to take place.

#### **5.3 Social Value**

Not applicable in the context of this report.

#### **5.4 Legal and Constitutional References**

5.4.1 The Council's Constitution (Responsibility for Functions, Annex A) provides that in the area covered by the committee:

- Discharge any functions, within the budget and policy framework agreed by Policy and Resources, of the theme committees that they agree are more properly delegated to a more local level. These include but are not limited to local highways and safety schemes.

5.4.2 The Traffic Management Act 2004 places obligation on authorities to ensure the expeditious movement of traffic on their road network. Authorities are required to make arrangements as they consider appropriate for planning and carrying out the action to be taken in performing the duty.

5.4.3 The Council as the Highway Authority has the necessary legal powers to introduce or amend Traffic Management Orders through the Road Traffic

Regulation Act 1984.

## **5.5 Risk Management**

5.5.1 It is not considered the issues involved are likely to give rise to policy considerations as any additional measures would improve safety and improve loading and parking facilities in the Town Centre to the benefit of all motorists

5.5.2 It is considered the issues involved proposing or introducing new parking restrictions may lead to some level of public concern from local residents who do not wish for additional restrictions, or from residents of other roads in the area concerned about parking being displaced into their road or network of roads. However, for both issues, it is considered that adequate consultation will be undertaken with members of the public so they can have the opportunity to comment to any statutory consultation on any proposed

## **5.6 Equalities and Diversity**

5.6.1 The public sector equality duty (PEQD) under Section 149(1) of the Equalities Act 2010, requires the authority, in the exercise of its functions, to have regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share relevant protected characteristics and person who do not share it.

5.6.2 Having due regards means the need to (a) remove or minimise disadvantage suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristics that are connected to that characteristics (b) take steps to meet the needs of persons who share a relevant protected characteristics that are different from the needs of person who do not share (c) encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristics to participate in public life in any other activity in which participation by such persons is disproportionately low.

5.6.3 The relevant protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or beliefs, sex and sexual orientation.

5.6.4 Full analysis of the responses and comments to the consultation has not indicated that there are any particular negative equalities/diversity impacts on vulnerable residents in the area.

## **5.7 Consultation and Engagement**

5.7.1 Consultation will be undertaken as described in this report.

## **5.8 Insight**

5.8.1 None in relation to this report

## **6. BACKGROUND PAPERS**

6.1 Item 8 of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting of 30<sup>th</sup> November 2016 – Temple Fortune Area, NW11 Parking Review  
<http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=712&MId=9085&Ver=4>

6.2 Item 13 of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting of 6 July

2016 – Temple Fortune Area, NW11 Parking Review

<https://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=712&MId=8749&Ver=4>

- 6.3 Item 11 of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting of 13 January 2016 – Progress update on Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee Actions.

<http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=712&MId=8266&Ver=4>